

The semi-classical energy of closed Nambu-Goto strings

Jochen Zahn

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Leipzig
Brüderstr. 16, 04103 Leipzig, Germany
jochen.zahn@itp.uni-leipzig.de

January 5, 2017

Abstract

We compute semi-classical corrections to the energy of rotating closed Nambu-Goto strings. We find the Regge intercept $a = 1 + \frac{D-2}{24}$ for D dimensional target space. Also the discrepancy with the standard approaches to string quantization is clarified.

1 Introduction

Recently [1], it was shown that the semi-classical correction to the energy of rotating open Nambu-Goto strings yields the Regge intercept

$$a = 1 + \frac{D-2}{24}. \quad (1)$$

This is a somewhat surprising result, as for this value of the intercept, the Nambu-Goto string can not be quantized in the covariant scheme for any D [2,3]. However, the validity of the result may be questioned on the following grounds: It is found that the local energy density diverges non-integrably near the boundaries. In order to obtain a finite result, boundary counterterms have to be introduced. Such boundary counterterms are a standard tool in the context of the Casimir effect [4] and have already previously been employed for open strings [5,6]. Nevertheless, there still remains the question whether one should trust a semi-classical calculation, based on a classical solution whose curvature and semi-classical energy diverges at the boundaries.

Here, we study the case of the closed string, where boundary effects are absent. We find that the semi-classical energy density is finite throughout and yields again the intercept (1), confirming the result of [1].

We recall that in the covariant quantization¹ of the closed Nambu-Goto string [2, 3], the intercept a is a free parameter, only constrained by the fact that the theory is consistent only for $a \leq 1$ and $D \leq 25$ or $a = 1$ and $D = 26$. Furthermore, the ground state energies E_ℓ for angular momentum $\ell = \ell_{1,2} + \ell_{3,4}$ with $\ell_{1,2}, \ell_{3,4} \geq 0$ (here $\ell_{i,j}$ denotes the angular momentum in the $i - j$ plane), lie on the Regge trajectory

$$E_\ell^2 = 2\pi\gamma(2\ell - 4a), \quad (2)$$

with γ the string tension. It is important to note that this ground state is degenerate. Given $0 \leq \ell_{1,2} \leq \ell$, the ground states with this $\ell_{1,2}$ and $\ell_{3,4} = \ell - \ell_{1,2}$ all have the same energy E_ℓ . In particular, one may choose $\ell = \ell_{1,2}$, $\ell_{3,4} = 0$. Semi-classically, this corresponds to two open rotating strings glued together at the end-points. Taking the semi-classical intercept (1) for the open strings, one readily obtains the same intercept a , defined according to (2), for the closed string. However, as discussed above, the curvature diverges at the folds of such a closed string configuration, so these semi-classical arguments may not be reliable. In the following, we are working at $\ell_{1,2} = \ell_{3,4}$ which gives a smooth (even flat) induced metric on the world-sheet.

The approach taken in [1], which we adapt here to the closed string case, is based on classical rotating string solutions for the Nambu-Goto string. We then quantize the perturbations to these solutions at second order in the perturbation, obtaining a free quantum field living on the world-sheet. The equations of motion for the fluctuations only depend on the world-sheet geometric data, i.e., the induced metric and the second fundamental form. Hence, it seems natural, in line with the framework of [7], to use methods from quantum field theory on curved space-time [8,9] for the renormalization of the free world-sheet Hamiltonian H^0 . This means that renormalization is performed locally, using the local geometric data. The correspondence between the world-sheet Hamiltonian and the target space energy then gives corrections to the classical Regge trajectories.

Let us analyze the latter point in more detail. The classical target space energy and angular momentum of the closed string solution with the minimal energy for coinciding angular momenta $L_{1,2} = L_{3,4} > 0$ are

$$\bar{E} = 2\pi\gamma R, \quad \bar{L}_{1,2} = \bar{L}_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2}\pi\gamma R^2. \quad (3)$$

In our parametrization (see below), the world-sheet time τ is dimensionless, and so should be the world-sheet Hamiltonian H . Its free part H^0 does not contain any further parameters and the string tension γ appears in inverse powers in the interaction terms. By dimensional analysis, we must thus have

$$H = H^0 + \mathcal{O}(R^{-1}\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

¹We refer to [1] for a discussion of quantization in the light cone gauge and of the Polyakov action.

with H^0 independent of R and γ . In our parametrization, the relation between the world-sheet Hamiltonian H , the quantum correction E^q to the target space energy E , and the quantum corrections $L_{1,2}^q, L_{3,4}^q$ to the angular momenta $L_{1,2}, L_{3,4}$ is

$$E^q = \frac{1}{R} \left(H + L_{1,2}^q + L_{3,4}^q \right), \quad (4)$$

yielding

$$\begin{aligned} E^2 &= (\bar{E} + E^q)^2 \\ &= 4\pi^2 \gamma^2 R^2 + 4\pi\gamma \left(H + L_{1,2}^q + L_{3,4}^q \right) + \mathcal{O}(R^{-2}) \\ &= 4\pi\gamma (L_{1,2} + L_{3,4} + H^0) + \mathcal{O}(R^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By comparison with (2), one can directly read off the intercept a from the expectation value of H^0 , i.e.,

$$a = -\frac{1}{2} \langle H^0 \rangle. \quad (6)$$

We are only aware of one previous effective field theory calculation of the Regge intercept of closed strings, that of [6] (for references on the case of open strings, we refer to [1]). There, classical solutions rotating in two planes, with in general different angular momenta $L_{1,2}, L_{3,4}$, are considered, and corrections due to the Polchinski-Strominger (PS) term [10] are computed. In the case that we consider here, $L_{1,2} = L_{3,4}$, these correction terms vanish, and the intercept

$$a = \frac{D-2}{24}$$

is obtained. However, for this part of the calculation, the ground state energies for a given angular momentum in the quantized non-perturbative theory were taken. Hence, this can not be interpreted as a semi-classical result. For further discussion of these issues, we refer to [1]. We would also like to point out that we find the same value of a for the open and the closed string, in contrast to the computations based on the PS action [6], where $a = 1$ is obtained for the open string, independently of the dimension.

We recall [1] that in the open string case, the unusual term 1 in (1) can be thought of as having two contributions: A term $\frac{1}{2}$ is contributed by the absence of a mode with frequency equal to the rotation frequency of the classical solution (a planar $n = 1$ mode in the notation used in [1]). And another term $\frac{1}{2}$ stems from the locally covariant renormalization of the world sheet energy density. The absence of the planar $n = 1$ mode has also been noticed before [11, 12], but the underlying geometric reason remained obscure.

In the present work, the supplementary term 1 can be interpreted as due to the absence in the Hamiltonian of four $n = 1$ modes, but the geometric

reason for their absence is clear: They correspond to center-of-mass translations and momenta in the four-dimensional sub-space spanned by e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 , i.e., to Nambu-Goldstone modes for the broken translation invariance in the planes of rotation (the translations have to rotate in order to compensate the rotation of the classical solution). These modes appear as a kinetic term in the world-sheet Hamiltonian, not as an occupation number. Hence, they do not contribute to the ground state energy.

The article is structured as follows: In the next section, we discuss perturbations of the rotating string solutions. In Section 3 we establish the relation between target space energy and the world-sheet Hamiltonian and compute the expectation value of the free world-sheet Hamiltonian, obtaining the intercept (1). In Section 4 we compare to the Polyakov string and argue that the discrepancy of the semi-classical intercept (1) and the standard results from the Polyakov string or quantization in the covariant scheme or in light cone gauge are due to the expansion around a degenerate configuration in the latter approaches.

2 Perturbations of classical rotating open strings

We recall the action

$$\mathcal{S} = -\gamma \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{g} d^2x$$

for the Nambu-Goto string. Here Σ is the world-sheet and g the induced metric. We use signature $(-, +)$. Denoting by $X : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$ the embedding, the equations of motion can be written as

$$\square_g X = 0. \tag{7}$$

We also recall the target space momentum and angular momentum derived from this action:

$$P^i = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\delta \mathcal{S}}{\delta \partial_0 X_i} d\sigma = -\gamma \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{g} g^{0\nu} \partial_\nu X^i d\sigma, \tag{8}$$

$$L_{ij} = \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{S}}{\delta \partial_0 X^j} X_i - i \leftrightarrow j \right] d\sigma = \gamma \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{g} g^{0\nu} (X_j \partial_\nu X_i - X_i \partial_\nu X_j) d\sigma. \tag{9}$$

Here we assumed Σ to be parameterized by $(\tau, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, 2\pi)$. The target space energy is given by $E = P^0$.

We use the same rotating string solutions as in [6], restricted to the case

$L_{1,2} = L_{3,4}$, and parameterized as

$$\bar{X}(\tau, \sigma) = \frac{R}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\tau \\ \sin \tau \cos \sigma \\ -\cos \tau \cos \sigma \\ \cos \tau \sin \sigma \\ \sin \tau \sin \sigma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

where $\sigma \in (0, 2\pi)$. For fixed τ , this is a circle of radius R . For simplicity, we here assumed that the target space-time is six dimensional. Adding further dimensions is straightforward. The induced metric on the world-sheet, in the coordinates introduced above, is

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}R^2\eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (11)$$

In particular, the curvature vanishes and the equation of motion (7) can be easily checked. Energy and angular momentum of the above solution were given in (3).

Our goal is now to perform a (canonical) quantization of the fluctuations φ around the classical background $\bar{X}$, i.e., we consider

$$X = \bar{X} + \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi. \quad (12)$$

At second order in φ , i.e., at $\mathcal{O}(\gamma^0)$, the fluctuations parallel to the world-sheet drop out of the action [7], so that it is natural to parameterize the fluctuations as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= f_s v_s + f_a v_a + f_b v_b + f_c v_c \\ &= f_s \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + f_a \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \cos \tau \cos \sigma \\ \sin \tau \cos \sigma \\ -\sin \tau \sin \sigma \\ \cos \tau \sin \sigma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + f_b \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sin \tau \cos \sigma \\ -\cos \tau \cos \sigma \\ \cos \tau \sin \sigma \\ \sin \tau \sin \sigma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + f_c \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \cos \tau \sin \sigma \\ \sin \tau \sin \sigma \\ \sin \tau \cos \sigma \\ -\cos \tau \cos \sigma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here the *scalar* component f_s describes the fluctuations in the direction perpendicular to the planes of rotation. The mode f_a describes infinitesimal rotations generated by $L_{1,2} + L_{3,4}$ (v_a also has a time component, which is present to make it orthogonal to the world-sheet). The mode f_b describes dilations in the subspace spanned by e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 and f_c describes combined shears in the planes spanned by e_1, e_3 and e_2, e_4 . The vectors v_s, v_a, v_b, v_c are orthonormal to each other and the world-sheet.

At $\mathcal{O}(\gamma^0)$, we thus obtain the free action

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^0 &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\dot{f}_a^2 - f_a'^2 + \dot{f}_b^2 - f_b'^2 + \dot{f}_c^2 - f_c'^2 + \dot{f}_s^2 - f_s'^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4f_b^2 - 4f_c^2 - \sqrt{8} \left(f_a \dot{f}_b - f_b \dot{f}_a - f_a f_c' + f_c f_a' \right) \right) d\sigma d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where derivatives w.r.t. τ are denoted by dots and those w.r.t. σ by primes. We see that the scalar fluctuations decouple from the others, termed the *planar sector* in the following. Grouping those into a vector $f = (f_a, f_b, f_c)$, we may write the equations of motion as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\ddot{f}_s + f_s'', \\ 0 &= -\ddot{f} + f'' - \sqrt{8}A\dot{f} + \sqrt{8}Bf' - 4Cf, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easily checked that the symplectic form

$$\sigma((f, \dot{f}), (\tilde{f}, \dot{\tilde{f}})) = \int_{\Sigma_\tau} (f, \dot{f}) S \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f} \\ \dot{\tilde{f}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

for the planar sector is conserved, where Σ_τ is the time-slice at time τ and

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \sqrt{8} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{8} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We are now looking for positive energy solutions to the equations of motion. For the scalar component, one finds the solutions

$$f_{s,n}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi n}} e^{\pm i n \sigma} e^{-i n \tau}$$

for $n \geq 1$. These are normalized w.r.t. their symplectic form, i.e.,

$$\sigma(\overline{f_{s,n}^a}, f_{s,m}^b) = -i \delta_{mn} \delta^{ab}. \quad (17)$$

There is also a zero mode f_q which degenerates in the symplectic form and can not be normalized. It forms a canonical pair with a supplementary linearly growing mode f_p , i.e.,

$$f_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad f_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \tau,$$

with $\sigma(f_q, f_p) = 1$. These correspond to positions and momenta in the directions perpendicular to the planes of rotation, and can be seen as the Nambu-Goldstone modes for the broken invariance under translations perpendicular to the planes of rotation. In the determination of the Regge intercept, these will be discarded, as we have to fix the momentum.

For the planar sector, we find the positive energy solutions

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,n}^{\pm} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi n(n^2-1)}} (\pm i\sqrt{2}, \mp n, n) e^{\pm i n \sigma} e^{-i n \tau}, \\ f_{2,n}^{\pm} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi(n-1)}} (\mp i\sqrt{2}, \pm 1, 1) e^{\pm i(n-2)\sigma} e^{-i n \tau}, \\ f_{3,n}^{\pm} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{16\pi(n+1)}} (\pm i\sqrt{2}, \pm 1, 1) e^{\pm i(n+2)\sigma} e^{-i n \tau}. \end{aligned}$$

These are again normalized w.r.t. the symplectic form, analogously to (17), with a few exceptions, which we now discuss in detail.

For $n = 0$, the modes $f_1^{\pm}$ degenerate to a single mode, which is degenerate in the symplectic form. There is another linearly growing solution complementing it, so that

$$f_{\theta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (1, 0, 0), \quad (18)$$

$$f_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[-\tau (1, 0, 0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (0, 1, 0) \right], \quad (19)$$

form a canonical pair,

$$\sigma(f_{\theta}, f_{\lambda}) = 1. \quad (20)$$

Recalling that f_a could be identified as an infinitesimal rotation generated by $L_{1,2} + L_{3,4}$, we can see these as Goldstone modes for the broken $L_{1,2} + L_{3,4}$ invariance. We should think of f_{θ} as an angle and f_{λ} as the corresponding angular momentum variable.² This is further supported below. Furthermore, as shown in the next section, these modes are not relevant for the determination of the Regge intercept.

The modes f_2 and f_3 do not degenerate in the symplectic form for $n = 0$, so they are not conventional null modes. However, $f_{2,0}^{\pm}$ does not fulfill the normalization (17) (the sign is changed). It should thus be interpreted as a negative energy mode. Note that $f_{2,0}^{\pm} = i f_{3,0}^{\pm}$, so $f_{3,0}^{\pm}$ and $i f_{2,0}^{\pm}$ are symplectic pairs of a positive and a negative energy solution. As $L_{1,3} + L_{2,4}$ and $L_{1,2} - L_{3,4}$ both commute with $L_{1,2} + L_{3,4}$, it is suggestive to regard the modes $f_{3,0}^{\pm}$ as the generators of these rotations.³ This is explicitly checked below. Also note that “positive energy” might be a misnomer, as one can easily check that these modes do not contribute to the free Hamiltonian, see below. It follows that these modes lead to a degeneracy of the ground state. This reflects the ground state degeneracy discussed in the introduction.

For $n = 1$, the modes f_1 and f_2 degenerate in the symplectic form and thus can not be normalized. Omitting the normalization, $f_{1,1}^{\pm}$ and $f_{2,1}^{\pm}$ are solutions and we have $f_{1,1}^{\mp} \sim f_{2,1}^{\pm}$. It turns out that there are linearly growing

²However, we draw attention to the sign of the term linear in τ in (19) compared to (18), which was introduced in order to have usual sign in (20).

³Also $L_{1,4} - L_{2,3}$ commutes with $L_{1,2} + L_{3,4}$, but it generates re-parametrizations.

solutions complementing these. We thus have

$$f_q^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}}(\pm i\sqrt{2}, \mp 1, 1)e^{\pm i\sigma}e^{-i\tau},$$

$$f_p^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}}\left[\tau(\pm i\sqrt{2}, \mp 1, 1) + \frac{i}{8}(\mp 3\sqrt{2}, \mp 1, 1)\right]e^{\pm i\sigma}e^{-i\tau}.$$

Together with their complex conjugates, these form canonical pairs,

$$\sigma(f_q^\pm, f_p^\pm) = 1, \quad \sigma(f_q^\pm, \overline{f_p^\pm}) = 1,$$

with all other combinations vanishing. Hence, $f_q^\pm, \overline{f_q^\pm}$ can be interpreted as positions and $\overline{f_p^\pm}, f_p^\pm$ as the corresponding momenta. We thus have four canonical pairs of position and momenta. These have the natural interpretation as center-of-mass positions and momenta in the sub-space spanned by e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 , i.e., as the Nambu-Goldstone modes for the broken invariance under translations in this subspace. As for the scalar null modes f_q, f_p , these will be discarded in the determination of the Regge intercept.

We remark that the identification of the scalar null and the planar $n = 1$ mode with Nambu-Goldstone modes for the broken translation invariance and that of the planar $n = 0$ mode with the Nambu-Goldstone mode for the broken rotation invariance in the plane of rotation was already made in [13] for the open Nambu-Goto string with masses at the ends (albeit the analog of the momenta $f_p^\pm$ was overlooked there). The identification of these modes with (angular) momenta can also be checked explicitly: Writing

$$\varphi = \sum_{a \in \pm} \left[\sum_{r \in \{1,2,3\}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_r} (a_{r,n}^a f_{r,n}^a) + (q^a f_q^a + p^a f_p^a) + \text{h.c.} \right] + \theta f_\theta + \lambda f_\lambda, \quad (21)$$

$$\varphi_s = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_s} \sum_{a \in \pm} (a_{s,n}^a f_{s,n}^a + \text{h.c.}) + q f_q + p f_p, \quad (22)$$

where $\varphi = (\varphi_a, \varphi_b, \varphi_c)$,

$$\mathbb{N}_s = \{n \geq 1\}, \quad \mathbb{N}_1 = \mathbb{N}_2 = \{n \geq 2\}, \quad \mathbb{N}_3 = \{n \geq 0\},$$

and the coefficients q, p, θ, λ are real, one finds, for the expansion of the energy, angular momenta, and momenta, cf. (8), (9),

$$E = \bar{E} + \sqrt{2\pi\gamma}\lambda + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^0), \quad (23)$$

$$L_{1,2} + L_{3,4} = \bar{L}_{1,2} + \bar{L}_{3,4} + \sqrt{2\pi\gamma}R\lambda + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^0), \quad (24)$$

$$L_{1,2} - L_{3,4} = \sqrt{2\pi\gamma}R\Re(a_{3,0}^+ - a_{3,0}^-) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^0),$$

$$L_{1,3} + L_{2,4} = \sqrt{2\pi\gamma}R\Im(a_{3,0}^+ + a_{3,0}^-) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^0),$$

$$P^{1/3} = \sqrt{2\pi\gamma}\Im(\mp p^+ + p^-) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^0), \quad (25)$$

$$P^{2/4} = \sqrt{2\pi\gamma}\Re(\pm p^+ - p^-) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^0), \quad (26)$$

$$P^5 = \sqrt{2\pi\gamma}p + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^0). \quad (27)$$

This supports the identification of the modes $f_p, f_\lambda, f_p^\pm, f_{3,0}^\pm$ with (angular) momenta discussed above.

Canonical quantization now proceeds as follows: One introduces annihilation and creation operators $\hat{a}_{r,n}^\pm, \hat{a}_{r,n}^{\pm*}$ for $r \in \{s, 1, 2, 3\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_r$, fulfilling

$$[\hat{a}_{r,n}^a, \hat{a}_{r',n'}^{b*}] = \delta^{ab} \delta_{rr'} \delta_{nn'}.$$

Furthermore, one introduces position operators $\hat{q}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{q}^\pm, \hat{q}^{\pm*}$ and momenta $\hat{p}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{p}^\pm, \hat{p}^{\pm*}$ with commutation relations

$$[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i, \quad [\hat{\theta}, \hat{\lambda}] = i, \quad [\hat{q}^{a*}, \hat{p}^b] = i\delta^{ab}, \quad [\hat{q}^a, \hat{p}^b] = 0.$$

The complex positions $\hat{q}^\pm$ and momenta $\hat{p}^\pm$ can be represented on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with canonical position and momentum operators $\hat{q}_i^\pm, \hat{p}_i^\pm$ as

$$\hat{q}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{q}_1^\pm + i\hat{q}_2^\pm), \quad \hat{p}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{p}_1^\pm + i\hat{p}_2^\pm). \quad (28)$$

In particular, with (25), (26), this implies

$$P^{1/3} = \sqrt{\pi\gamma} (\mp \hat{p}_2^+ + \hat{p}_2^-) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^0), \quad (29)$$

$$P^{2/4} = \sqrt{\pi\gamma} (\pm \hat{p}_1^+ - \hat{p}_1^-) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^0). \quad (30)$$

The canonically conjugate positions are thus

$$X^{1/3} = (2\sqrt{\pi\gamma})^{-1} (\mp \hat{q}_2^+ + \hat{q}_2^-) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^{-1}), \quad (31)$$

$$X^{2/4} = (2\sqrt{\pi\gamma})^{-1} (\pm \hat{q}_1^+ - \hat{q}_1^-) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^{-1}). \quad (32)$$

That these are the correct center of mass (cms) positions can indeed be explicitly checked. For example, up to a reparametrization, the combination $\sqrt{2\pi\gamma}\Im(f_q^+ - f_q^-)$ parameterizes a shift by one unit in direction e_1 .

Canonical quantization then proceeds by replacing the coefficients in (21) by the hatted corresponding operators. One can then explicitly check the fulfilment of the canonical equal time commutation relations, as dictated by the symplectic form (16). Omitting the positions and momenta (this will be justified below) we thus have quantum fields $\phi_s, \phi = (\phi_a, \phi_b, \phi_c)$ with two-point functions

$$w_s(x; x') := \langle \Omega | \phi_s(x) \phi_s(x') | \Omega \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_s} \sum_{a \in \pm} f_{s,n}^a(x) \overline{f_{s,n}^a(x')}, \quad (33)$$

$$w(x; x') := \langle \Omega | \phi(x) \phi(x') | \Omega \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_k} \sum_{a \in \pm} f_{k,n}^a(x) {}^t \overline{f_{k,n}^a(x')}, \quad (34)$$

where for the planar sector a matrix notation was used (the superscript t denotes the transpose).

3 The world-sheet Hamiltonian

The free Hamiltonian corresponding to the free action (14) is

$$H^0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\dot{\phi}_a^2 + \phi_a'^2 + \dot{\phi}_b^2 + \phi_b'^2 + \dot{\phi}_c^2 + \phi_c'^2 + \dot{\phi}_s^2 + \phi_s'^2 - 4\phi_b^2 + 4\phi_c^2 - \sqrt{8}(\phi_a\phi_c' - \phi_c\phi_a') \right) d\sigma. \quad (35)$$

In terms of the creation and annihilation and position and momentum operators introduced in the previous section, this Hamiltonian formally reads as

$$H^0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{r \in \{s, 1, 2, 3\}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_r} \sum_{a \in \pm} n (\hat{a}_{r,n}^a \hat{a}_{r,n}^{a*} + \hat{a}_{r,n}^{a*} \hat{a}_{r,n}^a) + \hat{p}^2 - \hat{\lambda}^2 \right) + \sum_{a \in \pm} (\hat{p}^{a*} \hat{p}^a + i \hat{p}^a \hat{q}^{a*} - i \hat{p}^{a*} \hat{q}^a). \quad (36)$$

Rewriting the last term in (36) in terms of the position and momentum operators introduced in (28), one obtains

$$\sum_{a \in \pm} (\hat{p}^{a*} \hat{p}^a + i \hat{p}^a \hat{q}^{a*} - i \hat{p}^{a*} \hat{q}^a) = \sum_{a \in \pm} \left[\frac{1}{2} ((\hat{p}_1^a)^2 + (\hat{p}_2^a)^2) + \hat{p}_1^a \hat{q}_2^a - \hat{p}_2^a \hat{q}_1^a \right].$$

By (29), (30), (31), (32), the last two terms are precisely the leading order term of the cms contribution to the angular momentum $-L_{1,2} - L_{3,4}$. As a cms contribution to the angular momentum does not change the energy (for a given momentum), this term must be present in H^0 , due to (4). For the determination of the Regge trajectory, such a cms contribution should of course be absent. Hence, we choose a state in which the expectation value of the last two terms in (36) vanishes.

To evaluate the rest mass, we should work at vanishing spatial momentum. Obviously, we may choose a state in which the expectation values of $(\hat{p}_1^a)^2 + (\hat{p}_2^a)^2$ and $\hat{p}^2$ are arbitrarily small. We can hence neglect the momenta in (36).⁴

Furthermore, as argued below, the $\hat{\lambda}^2$ term should be neglected, too. Then we formally obtain the expression

$$\langle H^0 \rangle = (D - 4) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n$$

⁴As a consistency check, we note that the leading order contribution to E^2 of the terms quadratic in the momenta is given by $2\pi\gamma(\hat{p}^2 + \sum_{a,i}(\hat{p}_i^a)^2)$, cf. (5). With (25), (26), and (27), we thus see that the momenta contribute $(P^i)^2$ to E^2 , up to corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, as expected.

for the vacuum expectation value, where we already inserted $D - 5$ scalar fields. Writing

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n = -1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n, \quad (37)$$

using a naive ζ function regularization, and applying (6), one obtains the intercept given in (1). In this sense, it is the absence of four planar $n = 1$ modes that gives the unusual term 1 in (1). However, we still have to justify (4) and the neglect of the $\hat{\lambda}^2$ term in the Hamiltonian. This is done in the following. Afterwards, we remedy the formal character of the above calculation by performing a local renormalization, as was done in [1] for the case of the rotating open string.⁵

In (4), the quantum corrections E^q , $L_{1,2}^q$, $L_{3,4}^q$ are obtained by expanding the classical expressions (8) for the target space energy $E = P^0$ and (9) for the angular momentum in φ , neglecting the zeroth order (classical) terms. The world-sheet Hamiltonian H generates (world-sheet) time translations on the world-sheet. The quantum target space energy E^q , should generate time translations in target space. However, the background world-sheet is rotating in the 1-2 and the 3-4 plane and so are the vectors v_a , v_b , v_c parameterizing the fluctuations. The correct relation between H and E^q is thus (4), where the factor $\frac{1}{R}$ corrects the different scaling of target space time X^0 and world-sheet time τ , and $L_{1,2}^q + L_{3,4}^q$ corrects for the missing rotation. One can easily check (4) explicitly up to $\mathcal{O}(\varphi^2)$, which is the relevant order for our purposes.

A further relation between the quantum target space energy E^q and the world-sheet Hamiltonian H is

$$E^q = \frac{1}{R} H \mod \frac{1}{R},$$

which follows from the fact that a world-sheet translation $\tau \mapsto \tau + 2\pi$ corresponds to a target space translation $X^0 \mapsto X^0 + 2\pi R$. Comparison with (4) shows that the spectrum of $L_{1,2}^q + L_{3,4}^q$ should be discrete,

$$L_{1,2}^q + L_{3,4}^q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This of course matches the expectation for the spectrum of (the sum of) angular momentum operators. Note that this is a non-perturbative statement, which can not be deduced from the expansion of $L_{1,2}^q + L_{3,4}^q$ in φ . Due to (24), this means that the spectrum of $\hat{\lambda}$ is discrete. In particular, we may choose the eigenstate of eigenvalue 0, corresponding to fixing the angular momentum $L_{1,2} + L_{3,4}$ to the classical value, up to corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\gamma^0)$. By (23), this is also an eigenstate of E , again up to corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\gamma^0)$.

⁵We recall that there, a formal calculation using (37) and global ζ function regularization did not yield the correct result.

We now come to the evaluation of the expectation value of H^0 (with the (angular) momenta set to zero). As in [1], we follow the proposal [7] to employ a locally covariant renormalization technique [8] developed for QFT on curved space-times. Concretely, the expectation value of Wick squares (possibly with derivatives) is determined as follows:

$$\langle \Omega | (\nabla^\alpha \phi \nabla^\beta \phi)(x) | \Omega \rangle = \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} \nabla^\alpha \nabla'^\beta (w(x; x') - h(x; x'))$$

Here α, β are multiindices, w is the two-point function in the state Ω , defined as on the l.h.s. of (33), (34), and h is a distribution which is covariantly constructed out of the geometric data, the *Hadamard parametrix*. For physically reasonable states, the difference $w - h$ is smooth, so that the above coinciding point limit exists and is independent of the direction from which x' approaches x .

For our purposes, it is advantageous to perform the limit of coinciding points from the time direction, i.e., we take $x = (\tau, \sigma)$, $x' = (\tau + t, \sigma)$, and $t \rightarrow +0$. Performing the summation in (33), we find

$$\frac{1}{2}(\partial_0 \partial'_0 + \partial_1 \partial'_1) w_s(x; x') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n e^{in(t+i\varepsilon)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi(t+i\varepsilon)^2} - \frac{1}{24\pi} + \mathcal{O}(t).$$

For a massless scalar field on two dimensional Minkowski space, the Hadamard parametrix is given by

$$h(x; x') = -\frac{1}{4\pi} (1 + \mathcal{O}((x - x')^3)) \log \frac{(x - x')^2 + i\varepsilon(\tau - \tau')^2}{\Lambda^2},$$

where Λ is a length scale (the “renormalization scale”) and we used the signature $(-, +)$. For the scalar part, we thus have

$$\frac{1}{2}(\partial_0 \partial'_0 + \partial_1 \partial'_1) h_s = -\frac{1}{2\pi(t+i\varepsilon)^2} + \mathcal{O}(t).$$

For the scalar contribution to the energy density, we thus obtain

$$\langle H_s^0(\sigma) \rangle = -\frac{1}{24\pi}. \quad (38)$$

For each of the $D - 5$ scalar degrees of freedom, this yields the usual Casimir energy

$$\langle H_s^0 \rangle = -\frac{1}{12}.$$

For the planar contribution to the energy density, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\left(\partial_0 \partial'_0 + \partial_1 \partial'_1 - 4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \sqrt{8} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial'_1 \right) w(x; x') \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n e^{in(t+i\varepsilon)} + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n e^{in(t+i\varepsilon)} \right] = -\frac{3}{2\pi(t+i\varepsilon)^2} - \frac{3}{24\pi} - \frac{1}{\pi} + \mathcal{O}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where in the first line the matrix notation of (33) was employed.

In order to obtain the planar parametrix, it is convenient to absorb the first order derivatives in the equation of motion (15) by introducing suitable covariant derivatives, analogously to the procedure followed in [14] for the construction of retarded and advanced Green's functions. It is straightforward to check that with

$$\nabla_0 = \partial_0 + \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla_1 = \partial_1 + \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the equation of motion (15) can be written as

$$\left(-\nabla_0^2 + \nabla_1^2 + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right) f = 0.$$

Denoting by $1(x, x')$ the parallel transport from x' to x , the parametrix for the planar sector is then given by

$$h(x, x') = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[1(x, x') - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} (x - x')^2 + \mathcal{O}((x - x')^3) \right] \times \log \frac{(x - x')^2 + i\varepsilon(\tau - \tau')^2}{\Lambda^2},$$

where we used the metric (11) in the definition of $(x - x')^2$.

In terms of the covariant derivatives, the planar contribution to the free Hamiltonian is given by

$$H^0 = \frac{1}{2} \int (\nabla_0 \phi)^2 + (\nabla_1 \phi)^2 - \sqrt{8} \nabla_0 \phi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi + 2 \phi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \phi.$$

The subtraction term for the point-split Hamiltonian is thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\left(\nabla_0 \nabla'_0 + \nabla_1 \nabla'_1 - \sqrt{8} \nabla_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) h(x; x') \right] \\ = -\frac{3}{2\pi(t + i\varepsilon)^2} + \mathcal{O}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that, due to the trace, the contributions from the last two terms on the l.h.s. vanish in the limit of coinciding points. For the planar contribution to the energy, we thus obtain

$$\langle H_p^0 \rangle = -\frac{3}{12} - 2.$$

Adding up the scalar and planar contributions and using (6), we obtain the intercept (1).

We note that, in contrast to the open string case [1], there is no logarithmic divergence in the world-sheet energy density, and thus no renormalization ambiguity associated to the choice of Λ . The reason is that the only renormalization ambiguity at the order considered here is a supplementary Einstein-Hilbert term, which however vanishes on our flat background (it is generically irrelevant for closed strings due to the Gauß-Bonnet theorem).

4 Comparison with the Polyakov action

We recall the action

$$\mathcal{S} = - \int \sqrt{h} h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu X^a \partial_\nu X_a d^2x \quad (39)$$

of the Polyakov string. Here h is an auxiliary metric on the world-sheet and we omitted the string tension for simplicity. Variation w.r.t. h yields the equation of motion

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ind}} = \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\nu} h^{\lambda\rho} g_{\lambda\rho}^{\text{ind}} \quad (40)$$

where

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ind}} = \partial_\mu X^a \partial_\nu X_a$$

is the metric induced by the embedding X . The equation of motion (40) is equivalent to

$$h_{\mu\nu} = e^\phi g_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ind}}, \quad (41)$$

i.e., the auxiliary metric is related to the induced one by a Weyl rescaling. Inserting this into the equation of motion obtained by variation w.r.t. X , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_\mu \left(\sqrt{h} h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu X \right) \\ &= \partial_\mu \left(\sqrt{g^{\text{ind}}} g_{\text{ind}}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu X \right), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

which coincides with the equation of motion (7) of the Nambu-Goto string. This equivalence of the Nambu-Goto and the Polyakov action is the justification for the replacement of the Nambu-Goto by the Polyakov action.

There are now two different approaches to the quantization of the action (39). Let us begin by discussing what may be called the *perturbative* approach. In complete analogy to the procedure followed in our treatment of the Nambu-Goto string, we expand around a non-trivial solution, i.e., we write

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \bar{X} + \varphi, \\ h &= \bar{h} + g, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

with $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{h}$ the classical solutions, fulfilling in particular, cf. (41),

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = e^{\bar{\phi}} \partial_\mu \bar{X}^a \partial_\nu \bar{X}_a. \quad (44)$$

Expanding the equation of motion (42) to first order in φ , taking into account that also g^{ind} depends on φ , we obtain the same free equations of motion for φ as in Section 2. There are two caveats, however. First, for the locally covariant renormalization of the energy density (or any other Wick polynomial), the metric on Σ crucially enters. But now we have two metrics $\bar{h}$ and $\bar{g}^{\text{ind}}$, related by a Weyl rescaling, which is not fixed. This introduces more ambiguity in the renormalization of Wick powers. In any case, using $\bar{g}^{\text{ind}}$ to renormalize Wick powers, a semi-classical calculation of a based on this approach would yield the same value (1) that we found based on the semi-classical treatment of the Nambu-Goto string. The second caveat is that this perturbative expansion of the Polyakov action does not seem to simplify the original problem at all, as (42) is as non-linear as the equations of motion of the Nambu-Goto action.

The true simplification of the Polyakov action is achieved in the *standard* approach to string quantization, cf. [15], for example. There, one uses reparametrization invariance to gauge fix h to conformal gauge, i.e.,

$$h_{\mu\nu} = e^\psi \eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (45)$$

The action (39) then reduces to the action for D massless scalar fields on a cylinder and supplementary ghost terms. One thus has achieved a major simplification to a free theory. Conformal anomalies related to the scalar field ψ are present except for the critical dimension $D = 26$, in which case the intercept $a = 1$ is obtained.

For our further discussion, it is illuminating to explicitly see how the conformal gauge (45) is achieved. To the action (39), one adds gauge fixing terms

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{gf}} = \int \sqrt{h} \left(h^{\mu\nu} b_{\mu\nu} + i \left(\nabla^\mu c^\nu + \nabla^\nu c^\mu - \nabla_\lambda c^\lambda h^{\mu\nu} \right) \bar{c}_{\mu\nu} \right) d^2x,$$

where indices are raised with $h^{\mu\nu}$. This is BRST exact w.r.t. the BRST transformation

$$\begin{aligned} sX^a &= c^\mu \partial_\mu X^a, \\ sh_{\mu\nu} &= \nabla_\mu c_\nu + \nabla_\nu c_\mu, \\ s\bar{c}_{\mu\nu} &= ib_{\mu\nu}, \\ sb_{\mu\nu} &= 0, \\ sc^\mu &= c^\nu \partial_\nu c^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Here ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection w.r.t. h and we omitted the Weyl ghosts in order to simplify the discussion. The Lagrange multiplier $b_{\mu\nu}$

and the antighost $\bar{c}_{\mu\nu}$ are symmetric tensors, traceless w.r.t. η . Hence, the variation w.r.t. $b_{\mu\nu}$ yields (45) as an equation of motion.

As pointed out in [7], in the standard approach (41) is not fulfilled at the classical level, i.e., (44) does not hold for finite $\bar{\phi}$. This is due to the fact that one expands X around a trivial embedding, i.e., an event, so that the classical induced metric degenerates.⁶ This is not a violation of the equation of motion (40). However, if h and g^{ind} are not related by a (finite) Weyl transformation, then the equivalence of the Nambu-Goto and the Polyakov equation of motion, (42), does not hold. In this sense, it is the expansion around a degenerate configuration that is responsible for the value $a = 1$ in $D = 26$ in the standard approach to string quantization, in contrast to our result (1). In this context, it may be worth recalling that the expansion around a non-degenerate solution is also crucial to the proof of the absence of anomalies in [7]. The point is that in an expansion around $\bar{X}$, (46) is modified to

$$s\varphi^a = c^\mu \partial_\mu X^a = c^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{X}^a + c^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi^a.$$

In contrast to (46), this has a term linear in the dynamical fields. This changes drastically not only the BRST cohomology, cf. [7], but also the physicality conditions to impose on states. It is thus not surprising that the intercept a changes discontinuously from a trivial to a rotating classical background.

References

- [1] J. Zahn, The semi-classical energy of open Nambu-Goto strings, [arXiv:1605.07928].
- [2] C. Rebbi, Dual models and relativistic quantum strings, Phys. Rept. 12 (1974) 1.
- [3] J. Scherk, An Introduction to the Theory of Dual Models and Strings, Rev. Mod. Phys. 47 (1975) 123.
- [4] J.S. Dowker and G. Kennedy, Finite Temperature and Boundary Effects in Static Space-Times, J. Phys. A11 (1978) 895.
- [5] M. Baker and R. Steinke, Semiclassical quantization of effective string theory and Regge trajectories, Phys. Rev. D65 (2002) 094042, [arXiv:hep-th/0201169].
- [6] S. Hellerman and I. Swanson, String Theory of the Regge Intercept, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 111601, [arXiv:1312.0999].

⁶Similarly, in the covariant and the light cone gauge quantization scheme, one first uses the re-parametrization invariance to have the induced metric fulfilling (45), and then uses a vacuum in which the induced metric degenerates.

- [7] D. Bahns, K. Rejzner and J. Zahn, The effective theory of strings, Commun. Math. Phys. 327 (2014) 779, [arXiv:1204.6263].
- [8] S. Hollands and R.M. Wald, Local Wick polynomials and time ordered products of quantum fields in curved space-time, Commun. Math. Phys. 223 (2001) 289, [arXiv:gr-qc/0103074].
- [9] S. Hollands and R.M. Wald, Quantum fields in curved spacetime, Phys. Rept. 574 (2015) 1, [arXiv:1401.2026].
- [10] J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, Effective string theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 1681.
- [11] M. Baker and R. Steinke, Effective string theory of vortices and Regge trajectories, Phys. Rev. D63 (2001) 094013, [arXiv:hep-ph/0006069].
- [12] L.A. Pando Zayas, J. Sonnenschein and D. Vaman, Regge trajectories revisited in the gauge / string correspondence, Nucl. Phys. B682 (2004) 3, [arXiv:hep-th/0311190].
- [13] J. Zahn, The excitation spectrum of rotating strings with masses at the ends, JHEP 1312 (2013) 047, [arXiv:1310.0253].
- [14] C. Bär, N. Ginoux and F. Pfäffle, Wave equations on Lorentzian manifolds and quantization (European Mathematical Society, 2007).
- [15] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, Superstring theory Vol. 1 (Cambridge University Press, 1987).